Larsen (WA) Eshoo Reves Etheridge Rivers Larson (CT) Evans Leach Rodriguez Farr Lee Ross Rothman Fattah Levin Lewis (GA) Roybal-Allard Filner Ford Lofgren Rush Frank Sabo Lowey Luther Sanchez Frost Maloney (CT) Genhardt Sandlin Sawyer Gilchrest Maloney (NY) Gilman Markey Schakowsky Gonzalez Matsui Schiff McCarthy (MO) Scott Granger Green (TX) McCollum Serrano McDermott Shays Greenwood Gutierrez McGovern Sherman Harman McKinney Simmons Slaughter Hilliard Meehan Hinchev Meek (FL) Smith (WA) Hinojosa Meeks (NY) Snyder Solis Hoeffel Menendez Spratt Holt Millender-Honda McDonald Strickland Tauscher Miller (FL) Hooley Horn Miller, George Thomas Thompson (CA) Houghton Moore Moran (VA) Thompson (MS) Hover Morella Thurman Inslee Israel Nadler Tiernev Jackson (IL) Napolitano Towns Jackson-Lee Udall (CO) Udall (NM) (TX) Obev Johnson (CT) Olver Velazquez Johnson, E. B. Visclosky Ose Owens Waters Kellv Watson (CA) Kennedy (RI) Pallone Kilpatrick Pastor Watt (NC) Waxman Kind (WI) Pavne Kirk Pelosi Weiner Kleczka. Price (NC) Wexler Pryce (OH) Wilson Kolbe Lampson Ramstad Woolsey Lantos Rangel Wynn

NAYS-249

DeLav Abercrombie DeMint Aderholt Issa Akin Diaz-Balart Armey Doolittle Bachus Doyle Baker Dreier Ballenger Duncan Barcia Dunn Edwards Barr Bartlett Ehlers Ehrlich Bereuter Emerson Berry English Bilirakis Everett Bishop Ferguson Blunt Flake Boehner Fletcher Bonilla Folev Bonior Forbes Borski Fossella Brady (TX) Frelinghuvsen Brown (SC) Gallegly Bryant Ganske Gekas Burr Burton Gibbons Buver Gillmor Callahan Goode Goodlatte Calvert Camp Gordon Cannon Goss Graham Cantor Capito Graves carson (OK) Green (WI) Chabot Grucci Chambliss Gutknecht Clement Hall (OH) Hall (TX) Coble Collins Hansen Combest Hart Cooksey Hastings (WA) Costello Haves Hayworth Cox Cramer Hefley Crane Herger Crenshaw Cubin Hilleary Culberson Hobson Cunningham Hoekstra Davis, Jo Ann Holden Hostettler Davis, Tom Ney Hulshof DeFazio Hunter

Hyde

Delahunt

Isakson Istook Jefferson Jenkins John Johnson (IL) Johnson, Sam Jones (NC) Kanjorski Keller Kennedy (MN) Kerns Kildee King (NY) Kingston Knollenberg Kucinich LaFalce LaHood Langevin Largent Latham LaTourette Lewis (CA) Lewis (KY) Linder LoBiondo Lucas (KY) Lucas (OK) Manzullo Mascara Matheson McCarthy (NY) McCrery McHugh McInnis McIntyre McKeon McNulty Mica Miller, Gary Mink Mollohan Moran (KS) Murtha Myrick Nethercutt Northup Norwood Nussle

Ros-Lehtinen Oberstar Sweeney Tancredo Ortiz Roukema Osborne Royce Tanner Rvan (WI) Otter Tauzin Ryun (KS) Oxley Taylor (MS) Pascrell Sanders Taylor (NC) Paul Saxton Terry Scarborough Thornberry Pence Peterson (MN) Schaffer Thune Peterson (PA) Schrock Tiahrt Sensenbrenner Petri Tiberi Phelps Sessions Toomey Pickering Traficant Shadegg Shaw Turner Platts Sherwood Unton Pombo Shimkus Vitter Pomeroy Walden Portman Shuster Walsh Putnam Simpson Wamp Quinn Watkins (OK) Radanovich Skelton Watts (OK) Smith (MI) Weldon (FL) Rahall Weldon (PA) Regula Smith (NJ) Rehberg Smith (TX) Weller Reynolds Souder Whitfield Riley Stearns Wicker Roemer Stenholm Wolf Rogers (KY) Wu Stump Rogers (MI) Stupak Young (AK) Rohrabacher Sununu Young (FL)

NOT VOTING-

Hastings (FL) Jones (OH) Spence Hutchinson Lipinski Stark

□ 1749

Mr. SKEEN and Mr. ABERCROMBIE changed their vote from "yea" "nav."

FORD, REYES, THOMAS, Messrs. and ROSS changed their vote from "nay" to "yea."

So the amendment in the nature of a substitute was rejected.

The result of the vote was announced as above recorded.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. QUINN). The question is on engrossment and third reading of the bill.

The bill was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time, and was read the third time.

MOTION TO RECOMMIT OFFERED BY MS. LOFGREN Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, I offer a motion to recommit.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is the gentlewoman opposed to the bill?

Ms. LOFGREN. I am, Mr. Speaker, in its present form.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion to recommit.

The Clerk read as follows:

Ms. Lofgren moves to recommit the bill, H.R. 2505, to the Committee on the Judiciary with instructions to report the same back to the House forthwith with the following amendment: Page 4, after line 10, insert the following subsection:

"(e) EXEMPTION FOR MEDICAL TREAT-MENTS.—Nothing in this section shall prohibit the use of human somatic cell nuclear transfer in connection with the development or application of treatments designed to address Parkinson's disease, Alzheimer's disease, diabetes, cancer, heart disease, spinal cord injury, multiple sclerosis, severe burns, or other diseases, disorders, or conditions, provided that the product of such use is not utilized to initiate a pregnancy and is not intended to be utilized to initiate a pregnancy. Nothing in this subsection shall exempt any product from any applicable regulatory ap-

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Pursuant to the rule, the gentlewoman from California (Ms. Lofgren) is recognized for 5 minutes in support of her motion.

Ms. LOFGREN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, as we close the debate on this research issue, there were several Members of the House in opposition to the Greenwood amendment who said that we dare not allow for the possibility of research, there was a slippery slope; that if we allowed research to occur, inevitably there would be those who would then go ahead and clone a human being, which all of us oppose.

I think that that is a fallacious argument. It is a defective argument, because what that argument says is people will violate the law. Well, if that is why we cannot stand up for research today, if the worry is that if we allow for research, that some will violate the law that we passed prohibiting the cloning of human beings, then we would have to go and prohibit the selling of petri dishes and other scientific equipment.

No, that is a defective argument. The real issue is whether or not the House of Representatives intends to allow stem cell research, the somatic cell nuclear transfer technology.

We received in the Committee on the Judiciary a letter from a person who is the Director of the Ethics Institute, the Chair of the Department of Religion at Dartmouth College. This person was the founding director of the Office of Genome Ethics at the NIH National Human Genome Research Institute, a past president of the Society of Christian Ethics, the largest association of religious ethicists

This is what he told us: "I wish to draw your attention to the devastating implications for medical science of H.R. 2505. As written, the bill would prohibit several research directions of possibly great medical benefit. Nuclear transfer for cell replacement would permit us to produce immunologically compatible cell lines for tissue repair. There is no intention on the part of those researching this technology to clone a person. Using this technology, a child suffering from diabetes could receive a replacement set of insulin producing cells. These would not be rejected by the child because they would be produced via a nuclear transfer procedure from the child's own body cells. Neither would the implantation of these cells require the use of dangerous immuno-suppression drugs. Using this same technology, paralyzed individuals might receive a graft of nervous system cells that would restore spinal cord function. Burn victims could receive their own skin tissue back for wound healing, and so on."

Dr. Green goes on to say, "As presently drafted, H.R. 2505 will shut down this research in this country. This would represent an unparalleled loss to biomedical research, and for no good reason. H.R. 2505, if it is passed in its present form, the United States will turn its back on thousands or millions of sufferers of severe diseases. It will become a research backwater in one of science's most promising areas."